

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 MART LIKANE,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 CITY OF SEATTLE, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. C05-1829L

ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION

14
15 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's Response to Order Denying
16 Second Motion for Affidavit of Prejudice. (Dkt. #56). Plaintiff asserts that the Court
17 erred in denying his motion to recuse.

18 The Court construes plaintiff's Response as a motion for reconsideration.
19 However, plaintiff has not shown manifest error in the prior ruling or shown new facts or
20 legal authority which could not have been brought to the Court's attention earlier with
21 reasonable diligence. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

22 DATED this 6th day of December, 2006.

23
24 

25 Robert S. Lasnik
26 United States District Judge

ORDER